BEFORE THE TENNESSEE REGULATORY AUTHORITY NASHVILLE, TENNESSEE

IN RE:

APPLICATION OF MEMPHIS NETWORX, LLC FOR A CERTIFICATE OF PUBLIC CONVENIENCE AND NECESSITY TO PROVIDE INTRASTATE TELECOMMUNICATION SERVICES AND JOINT PETITION OF MEMPHIS LIGHT GAS & WATER DIVISION, A DIVISION OF THE CITY OF MEMPHIS, TENNESSEE ("MLGW") AND A&L NETWORKS-TENNESSEE, LLC ("A&L") FOR APPROVAL OF AGREEMENT BETWEEN MLGW AND A&L REGARDING JOINT OWNERSHIP OF MEMPHIS NETWORX, LLC.

DOCKET NO. 99-00909

2.7 11 59

ISSUES SUBMITTED BY TIME WARNER TELECOM OF THE MID-SOUTH, L.P., TIME WARNER COMMUNICATIONS OF THE MID-SOUTH, L.P., AND THE TENNESSEE CABLE TELECOMMUNICATIONS ASSOCIATION

- 1. Does the Memphis Light Gas & Water ("MLG&W") ownership interest in Memphis Networx, L.L.C. ("Networx") violate Article 2, Section 29 of the Tennessee Constitution?
- 2. To what extent, if any, is MLG&W's authorization to offer telecommunications services affected by its charter and that of the City of Memphis?
- 3. Insofar as the requirements of MLG&W are concerned, what will be the difference, if any, between what is required of Memphis Networx and other private investor-owned telecommunications providers?
- 4. Should the creation of the Networx joint venture be approved by the TRA pursuant to Tennessee Code Annotated ("TCA") § 7-52-103(d)?
- 5. Has Networx taken any action consistent with its business plan to offer telecommunications services that requires regulatory approval without the benefit of such approval?
- 6. What rules and/or reporting requirements are necessary to insure that Memphis Networx will comply with TCA §7-52-402(2)?



- 7. What rules are necessary to insure Memphis Networx compliance with TCA §7-52-404?
- 8. What rules and/or requirements are necessary to insure that start up expenses, already incurred, are correctly identified and properly allocated?
- 9. What rules and/or reporting requirements are necessary to insure Memphis Networx compliance with TCA § 7-52-405?
- 10. Is MLG&W's representation that it will conduct its business transactions with Networx "at arms length at market rates" (Application and Joint Petition, ¶ 9A) sufficient to prevent anti-competitive practices prohibited by TCA § 65-5-208(c)? If not, what rules and/or reporting requirement should be adopted?

Respectfully submitted, FARRIS, MATHEWS, BRANAN BOBANGO & HELLEN, P.L.C.

Charles B. Welch, Jr.

Attorney for Petitioners

618 Church Street, Ste. 300 Nashville, Tennessee 37219

(615) 726-1200

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and exact copy of the foregoing has been forwarded, via U.S. Mail, prepaid to D. Billye Sanders, Waller Lansden Dortch & Davis, PLLC, 511 Union Street, Suite 2100, Nashville, Tennessee 37219-8966 and John Knox Walkup, Wyatt, Tarrant & Combs, 511 Union Street, Suite 1500, Nashville, Tennessee 37219-1750, on this the 15th day of February 2000.

Charles B. Welch, Jr